

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/816,643	03/23/2001	Seiyo Nakashima		6862	
26021 75			EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE			KACKAR, RAM N		
SUITE 1900 LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90071-2611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763	14	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	D	Applicant(s)	
		09/816,643	09/816,643 NAKASHIMA ET AL.		AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Ram N Kackar		1763	address
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				adaress
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure - Any re earner	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is conso of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 is (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It (30) days, a reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuply received by the Office later than three months after the mail of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, he sply within the statutory d will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be till minimum of thirty (30) da pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered tin the mailing date of thi TO (35.U.S.C. & 133).	nely. s communication.
tatus	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	March 2003 .			
1) 🖾	2h\⊠ -	This action is no	n-final.		
2a) [] 3) []	Since this application is in condition for allocalosed in accordance with the practice under	avaant fo	r formal matters. I	prosecution as to 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
)ispositi	on of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 13-24</u> is/are pending in	rown from consi	deration.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	nawn nom cons	deration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 13-24</u> is/are rejected.				
7)🛛	Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to.	V Jaskian roa	uiroment		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election req	difement.		
Applicat	ion Papers	iner			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	occuted or b) 0	hiected to by the E	xaminer.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85	5(a).
	Applicant may not request that any objection to The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ apı	proved b) disap	proved by the Ex	aminer.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Offi	ce action.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	Examiner.			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, LACITIES I			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	roign priority UDC	ler 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reight phonty and			
a	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	anto have beer	received.		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been	received in Appli	cation No	_ •
	Certified copies of the priority docur Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been	nts have been rec	eived in this Nat	ional Stage
	Certified copies of the priority docur Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the priority docurrence. See the attached copies of the priority docurrence.	a list of the certif	ied copies not rec	eived.	
44)	to the supplement is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. 9 1	19(6) (10 a pion	sional application)
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	a arouleional an	niicanun nas vooi	1000	
Attachm					
1) 🔯 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) No(s)	4) Interview Sun 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	nmary (PTO-413) Pa rmal Patent Applicat	ion (PTO-152)
1		Sies Action Summa			Part of Paper No. 14

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 20. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In this instance the reference to arbitrary abutting position is not disclosed in the original claims 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 as stated by the applicant or specifications.
- 4. the following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-2, 4-9, 14, 15, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims cite process limitations along with apparatus limitation. Reference to a process of moving susceptor and heater so that they maintain a distance, which is constant, applies to claims 1-2, 4-9 and 15. Claim 14 refers to the process of

Art Unit: 1763

lifting and lowering the substrate while claim 19 refers to the process of heating while being lifted or lowered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-2, 4-9, 14, 15, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 also as these claims overlap two different statutory classes of invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims1-2, 4-8, 13-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacLeish et al (US5653808). MacLeish et al disclose a processing chamber (Fig 2), a susceptor (Fig 2-50), a heating unit disposed below the susceptor (Fig 2-44), the susceptor capable of lifting, lowering and being rotatable with respect to heating unit (Col 4 line 65 to Col 5 line 5).

Regarding claim 1, 8 and 15 the susceptor and the heating unit are capable of being lifted and lowered together so that the distance between the two may be kept constant (Col 5 line 34-37).

Regarding claim 4, 5, 16 and 17 the apparatus for lifting and lowering the substrate is partly disposed inside the susceptor (Fig 1-54) and partly outside (Fig 1-48).

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding claim 6 the susceptor has a central member (Fig 2) and an attached peripheral member (Fig 2-50a) and the lifting apparatus is attached to the central member (Fig 2-48).

Regarding claim 7 and 19 the heating member is in three independently controllable parts so that at least one may correspond to the central part and one may correspond to the peripheral part (Col 4 56-60).

Regarding claim 8, in addition to the disclosure in paragraph 1, MacLeish et al also disclose a structure of susceptor so that when a substrate sits on it, its upper surface will be substantially flush with the peripheral part (Fig 3b).

Regarding claim 13, lift and lowering apparatus as being comprised of susceptor and housing it does get restricted in lowering at some point near the bottom of the chamber.

Regarding claim 22 the parts disclosed are in the same order as claimed. Nevertheless re arrangement of parts has been held obvious.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nomura, 9 Hishashi (JP 05291154). Nomura Hishashi discloses a processing chamber (Fig 3), a susceptor (2), a heating unit disposed below the susceptor (3), the susceptor capable of lifting, lowering and being rotatable with respect to heating unit (Abstract), a shower head for gas (14) the lifting and lowering apparatus engaging in both heating and susceptor unit (Fig 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 10 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1763

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacLeish et al (US5653808) in view of Okayama et al (US 6334983).

MacLeish et al do not disclose a member made of quartz being flush with upper surface of susceptor and disposed in an outer periphery of said susceptor.

Okayama et al disclose a quartz ring disposed on the susceptor at periphery and substantially flush with the upper surface of the susceptor (Fig 1-126 and Col 7 line 45-50) for focusing the plasma.

There fore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill to modify the susceptor of MacLeish et al with a quartz ring on the periphery so as to serve as a focus ring.

Regarding claim 23 the perimeter of susceptor helps prevent heat of the substrate in escaping out.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacLeish et al (US5653808). Further to discussion of claim 18 MacLeish et al disclose all the limitations of this claim except the thermocouples for sensing temperature.

However, MacLeish et al disclose IR sensors. It would have been obvious to use thermocouple sensor in view of the teaching that instead of IR sensor any other type could also be used (Col 6 lines 7-9).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

Art Unit: 1763

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK April 7, 2003

mgan BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700